UNITED STATES DISTRICT WESTERN DISTRICT OF N		
JERRY REYNOLDS,		-
	Plaintiff,	
		DECISION AND ORDER
		99-CV-6228L
v.		
DAVE BARRETT, Industrial of Elmira Correctional Facility	Superintendent y, et al.,	
	Defendants.	
KAY GOULD,		
	Plaintiff,	
V.		99-CV-6503L
TERRY CHAMBERLIN, Indesupervisor, et al.,	ustry Training	
	Defendants.	
ANTHONY MACK,		_
	Plaintiff,	
V.		00-CV-6436L
DAVID BARRETT, Industria of Elmira Correctional Facility	l Superintendent y, et al.,	
	Defendants.	
		_

Case 6:00-cv-06436-DGL-JWF Document 51 Filed 06/02/06 Page 2 of 2

JOSEPH SERGIO PONDER,

Plaintiff,

v.

00-CV-6440L

TERRY CHAMBERLIN, et al.,

Defendants.

Counsel for plaintiffs in these four actions has filed a motion to amend the complaint and to consolidate the actions. Defendants have responded. Plaintiffs have yet to reply and no argument date has been scheduled.

I discussed procedure with counsel on May 16, 2006, and have discussed these issues with United States Magistrate Judge Jonathan W. Feldman.

Unless the parties consent to jurisdiction with the Magistrate Judge, I am charged with deciding any dispositive motions and trying the cases. I believe it is preferable that I decide plaintiffs' pending motions. Therefore, any order of reference to the contrary is hereby vacated.

Plaintiffs may file a reply to defendants' response on or before June 20, 2006. The matter is scheduled for oral argument on July 18, 2006 at 2:00 p.m.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DAVID G. LARIMER
United States District Judge

Dated: Rochester, New York June 1, 2006.